UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,066	03/15/2007 Christian Erker		P/746-5	1551
	7590 10/05/200 FABER GERB & SOF	EXAMINER		
	OF THE AMERICAS	MICALI, JOSEPH		
NEW YORK, N	N1 100306403		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		1	Application No	. Applicant(s)				
			10/582,066		ERKER ET AL.			
		Ī	Examiner		Art Unit			
			Joseph V. Mical	i	1793			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur r Reply	nication appea	ars on the cove	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress		
WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this coming period for reply is specified above, the maximum see to reply within the set or extended period for reply apply received by the Office later than three months department of the provision	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, ca	(a). In no event, how apply and will expire ause the application	OMMUNICATION vever, may a reply be times SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>08 Jun</i> i	e 2006					
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 June 2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
′=		/ 			secution as to the	e merits is		
-	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4\\\\\\	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the	annlication						
-	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or e	election require	ament				
0)[Ciaiii(s) are subject to restin	ction and/or e	siection require	anient.				
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.						
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 June 200</u>	<u>'6</u> is/are: a)⊠	accepted or	b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the dra	awing(s) be held	d in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correctior	n is required if th	ne drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11) 🔲 -	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Ination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) • No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	ite			

Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Application

Claims 1-28 are pending and presented for examination on the merits.

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Page 3

Art Unit: 1793

5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 1-6, 8, 12, 15-16, and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over US Patent No. 4,321,087 by Levine et al, as evidenced by *PVD Aluminum Pigments:*Superior Brilliance for Coatings & Graphic Arts by Seubert.

With respect to claims 1-2, Levine discloses a cosmetic composition (for example, see assignee Revlon, Inc.) comprising a liquid phase and a PVD aluminum pigment, where the pigment has a metallic aluminum content of nearly 100 % by weight based on the weight of the aluminum pigment, as the pigment consists essentially of aluminum only, and is present in the cosmetic composition at 1 or 4.3% by weight based on the total weight of the cosmetic composition (examples 9-10, column 3, lines 17-37).

With respect to the limitation of the PVD aluminum pigment having a claimed number of diffractive structures (claims 1 and 2), examiner believes such diffractive structures to be inherent to the disclosure of Levine, given the similar teachings of Levine and the current application, with support from MPEP 2112 [R-3] III. – "Where applicant claims a composition in terms of a function, property or characteristic and the

Application/Control Number: 10/582,066

Art Unit: 1793

composition of the prior art is the same as that of the claim but the function is not explicitly disclosed by the reference, the examiner may make a rejection under both 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103, expressed as a 102/103 rejection."

With respect to claims 3, 5, and 6, Levine discloses the addition of a film-forming component, such as cellulose nitrate (**column 3, lines 42-47, and example 9**), while the claim of a nail varnish is an intended use limitation and does not impart any structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art.

With respect to claim 4, Levine discloses the addition of waxes (**column 3, lines 42-48**), while the claim of a lip gloss composition is an intended use limitation and does not impart any structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art.

With respect to claim 8, Levine discloses the liquid phase being of an organic solvent, such as methyl/ethyl cellosolve i.e. glycol ethers (**examples 9-10**).

With respect to claims 12 and 24, Levine discloses the aluminum pigment present in the cosmetic composition at 1% by weight based on the total weight of the cosmetic composition (**example 10**).

With respect to claims 15 and 25, examiner has shown that such diffractive structures are inherent in the PVD aluminum pigments of Levine, and Seubert proves that such diffractive structures are a reflection grating (Seubert, figures on pgs. 4-6 regarding the PVDA pigment).

With respect to claims 16 and 26, Levine discloses a pigment thickness of 350-450 angstroms, or 35-45 nm (column 2, lines 61-63).

Application/Control Number: 10/582,066 Page 5

Art Unit: 1793

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. Claims 7, 9-11, 13-14, 18, 21-22, and 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 4,321,087 by Levine et al, as applied to claims 1-6, 8, 12, 15-16, and 24-26 above, and further in view of US Patent Pub. No. 2003/0175225 by Leacock et al.

With respect to claim 7, Levine discloses the addition of a film-forming component, such as cellulose nitrate. However, Levine is silent to the film-forming component comprising cellulose acetate butyrate.

Leacock is drawn to nail enamel compositions exhibiting color change (title).

Specifically, Leacock discloses both nitrocellulose (cellulose nitrate) and cellulose acetate butyrate as pigment dispersants and film-forming binders (paragraph 0004).

At the time of invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to produce the composition of Levine including the use of cellulose acetate butyrate, in view of the teaching of Leacock. The suggestion or motivation for doing so would have been a simple substitution of one known element for another to obtain predictable results, as cellulose acetate butyrate has been taught to be a known alternative for cellulose nitrate (Leacock, paragraph 0004).

With respect to claims 9-10, Leacock discloses the composition including a plasticizer, specifically dibutyl phthalate, as well as a dispersing agent (paragraphs 0004-0006, 0012).

With respect to claim 11, Leacock discloses the composition including a bentonite, specifically stearalkonium bentonite (paragraph 0012).

Application/Control Number: 10/582,066

Art Unit: 1793

With respect to claims 13-14, 18, and 21-22, Leacock discloses the composition including coloring agents, such as colored pigments (**paragraph 0013**).

With respect to claim 27, as Leacock is drawn to a nail enamel composition, and specifically discloses use on artificial fingernails as well as human fingernails (paragraph 0002).

With respect to claim 28, Leacock discloses the selection of organic solvent being one of butyl acetate, propyl acetate, ethyl acetate, ispropanol, butyl alcohol (**paragraph 0012**).

8. Claims 17, 19, 20, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 4,321,087 by Levine et al, as applied to claims 1-6, 8, 12, 15-16, and 24-26 above, and further in view of US Patent No. 6,042,842 by Lemann et al.

With respect to claims 17 and 19, Levine discloses the addition of waxes, while the claim of a lip gloss composition is an intended use limitation and does not impart any structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art. However, Levine does not recite oils or gels being used.

Lemann is drawn to cosmetic compositions comprising novel pigments (title) for use in products to be applied to the lips, eyes, skin, and nails, such as nail varnishes or waxes (column 4, lines 20-26, 45-59). Lemann discloses the addition of oils, such as castor oil and oleyl alcohols for example (column 4, line 60 - column 5, line 27).

At the time of invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to produce the composition of Levine including the use of an oil such as castor oil and oleyl alcohol, in view of the teaching of Lemann. The suggestion or motivation

Art Unit: 1793

for doing so would have been to include a fatty substance, which can be liquid at room temperature, for control over the viscosity of the preferred final product (**Lemann**, **column 4**, **lines 20-39**, 54-59).

With respect to claim 20, Lemann discloses specific waxes to be used, such as beeswax, carnauba or candelilla wax, microcrystalline waxes, ceresin or ozokerite (column 6, lines 24-27).

With respect to claim 23, Lemann discloses the addition of fragrances (perfumes) and preservatives to the composition (**column 5**, **lines 30-37**).

Conclusion

- 9. Claims 1-28 are rejected.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph V. Micali whose telephone number is (571) 270-5906. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:30am to 5pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry A. Lorengo can be reached on (571) 272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Joseph V Micali/ Examiner, Art Unit 1793 /J.A. LORENGO/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793